

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

Chantal Hilton,)	Case No.: 2:24-cv-07719-RMG-MHC
)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	JOINT RULE 26(f)
)	DISCOVERY PLAN
Trident Technical College,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

The parties held a Rule 26(f) Conference on June 12, 2025, and now submit the following joint discovery plan:

RULE 26(f) DISCOVERY PLAN

(1) What changes should be made to the timing, form, or a requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement as to when disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) were made or will be made.

None.

(2) The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused upon particular issues.

Discovery will be conducted pertaining to the allegations and damages contained in the Complaint and the defenses contained in the Answer. The parties agree the discovery deadline dates contained in the proposed Amended Conference and Scheduling Order are appropriate for this case. The parties do not anticipate the need to have discovery conducted in phases or to limit discovery except for as otherwise limited by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the District's Local Civil Rules.

(3) Any issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced.

- a) The parties agree to exchange discovery documents in PDF format but reserve the right to request particular documents or materials be produced in native or alternative formats.
- b) The parties will cooperate in such situations within the scope of discovery allowed by the Rules.
- c) The parties agree to electronic service of discovery requests and responses.

(4) Any issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, including – if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after production – whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order.

The parties agree to a claw-back of inadvertently or mistakenly produced privileged or work product documents. If such documents are mistakenly produced, the opposing party agrees to return or destroy them and that such materials would not be admissible.

(5) What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these rules or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed.

The parties are not aware of any changes that should be made to the limits imposed on discovery by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures or this District's Local Civil Rules at this time.

(6) Any other orders that should be entered by the court under Rule 26(c) or under Rule 16(b) and (c).

The parties agree to submit a proposed Amended Conference and Scheduling Order for the Court's consideration.

s/ Bonnie Travaglio Hunt

Bonnie Travaglio Hunt (Fed. ID #07760)

Hunt Law, LLC

P.O. Box 1845

Goose Creek, South Carolina 29445

bthunt@huntlawllc.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

CHANTAL HILTON

s/ Bob J. Conley

Bob J. Conley (Fed. ID # 6791)

Cleveland & Conley, LLC

171 Church Street, Suite 310

Charleston, South Carolina 29401

Telephone: (843) 577-9626

bconley@clevelandlaborlaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

TRIDENT TECHNICAL COLLEGE

July 2, 2025

Charleston, South Carolina